	Case 2:05-cv-01466-RSL Docume	ent 22	Filed 01/23/06	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
7	AT SEATTLE				
8	ROSEMARY BRIM DAVIS,				
9	Plaintiff,				
10	V.		lase No. C05-14		
11	HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL,	F	ORDER GRANTI OR EXTENSION	N OF TIME TO	
12	Defendant.	K	ESPOND TO D	ISCOVERY	
13					
14					
15	This matter comes before the Court on a motion filed by defendant Homecomings				
	Financial Network, Inc. ("Homecomings") for a thirty day extension of time to respond to				
17	plaintiff's pending discovery requests. (Dkt. #15). Homecomings argues that an				
18	extension is needed because of the scope of the discovery requests, the intervening				
19	holidays, and the resulting unavailability of Homecomings' personnel.				
20	Plaintiff objects to the extension for two primary reasons. First, she argues that				
	she offered Homecomings a reasonable extension but her offer was rejected. Plaintiff's				
22	counsel agreed to a thirty day extension for responses, but would allow only a two week				
23	extension for Homecomings' objections. Second, plaintiff argues that she must file her				
24	motion for class certification by March 24, 2006. She argues that if Homecomings has				
25	ORDER GRANTING MOTION				
26	FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 1				

1	until January 30, 2006 to make objections to her discovery requests, it would be			
2	impossible for her to meet the certification motion filing deadline. Plaintiff, however,			
3	would have approximately seven weeks after receiving the responses to prepare her			
4	certification motion.			
5	The Court finds that Homecomings has shown good cause for a thirty day			
6	extension to provide its answers and objections to plaintiff's discovery requests, and its			
7	motion is GRANTED.			
8	Finally, plaintiff urges the Court to find that Homecomings waived its objections			
9	by failing to provide them within thirty days of receiving the discovery requests. During			
10	that time, however, Homecomings was diligently seeking an extension, then promptly			
11	moved for one after plaintiff refused to agree. Accordingly, the Court finds that			
12	Homecomings did not waive its objections to plaintiff's discovery requests.			
13				
14	DATED this 23rd day of January, 2006.			
15				
16	MMS Casnik			
17	Robert S. Lasnik			
18	United States District Judge			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
2526	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 2			